

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

SYNQOR, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
ASTEC AMERICA, INC.,
BEL FUSE INC.,
CHEROKEE INTERNATIONAL CORP.,
DELTA ELECTRONICS, INC.,
DELTA PRODUCTS CORP.,
LINEAGE POWER CORP.,
MURATA ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA,
INC.,
MURATA MANUFACTURING CO., LTD.,
MURATA POWER SOLUTIONS INC.
POWER-ONE, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:07-CV-497-TJW-CE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**SYNQOR'S ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF
DEFENDANT ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Plaintiff SynQor, Inc. ("SynQor") respectfully submits this Answer to the counterclaims asserted by Defendant Artesyn Technologies, Inc. ("Artesyn") in its Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims to SynQor's Third Amended Complaint ("Answer") (*See* Dkt. # 308).

COUNTERCLAIMS

The Parties

1. Upon information and belief, SynQor admits the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Answer.

2. SynQor admits the allegations in paragraph 2 of the Answer.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. SynQor admits the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Answer.
4. SynQor will not contest that venue is proper in this case.
5. SynQor admits that it has charged Artesyn with committing acts of infringement of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 Patents. SynQor also admits that a justiciable controversy exists between SynQor and Artesyn concerning the validity and scope of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 Patents and with respect to the liability for the alleged infringement thereof by Artesyn. SynQor denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 5 of the Answer.

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT**

6. SynQor admits that Artesyn claims in paragraph 6 of the Answer that it realleges the allegations of the previous paragraphs of its counterclaims. SynQor denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 6 of the Answer.

7. SynQor denies the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Answer.
8. SynQor denies the allegations in paragraph 8 of the Answer.

SECOND COUNTERCLAIM **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY**

9. SynQor admits that Artesyn claims in paragraph 9 of the Answer that it realleges the allegations of the previous paragraphs of its counterclaims. SynQor denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 9 of the Answer.

10. SynQor denies the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Answer.
11. SynQor denies the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Answer.

12. SynQor denies the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Answer.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT

- A. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief;
- B. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief;
- C. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief;
- D. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief;
- E. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief;
- F. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief;
- G. SynQor denies that Artesyn is entitled to relief.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

SynQor demands a jury trial for the asserted counterclaims.

Dated: October 26, 2009

/s/ Thomas D. Rein

Thomas D. Rein (*admitted pro hac vice*)

Lead Attorney

trein@sidley.com

Russell E. Cass (*admitted pro hac vice*)

rcass@sidley.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

One South Dearborn

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: 312.853.7000

Facsimile: 312.853.7036

Michael D. Hatcher

Texas State Bar No. 24027067

mhatcher@sidley.com

David T. DeZern

Texas State Bar No. 24059677

ddezern@sidley.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

717 North Harwood, Suite 3400

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: 214.981.3300

Facsimile: 214.981.3400

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF SYNQOR, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on October 26, 2009.

/s/ David T. DeZern

David T. DeZern